



8/12/2011

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

GSI TECHNOLOGY, INC., a Delaware
corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR
CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 5:11-cv-03613-EJD

**STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME TO
ANSWER OR RESPOND TO
COMPLAINT**

[Local Rule 6-1(a)]

Date Action Filed: July 22, 2011

Trial Date: Not Set

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 6-1(a), the parties stipulate as follows.

1. Defendant's time to answer or otherwise respond to plaintiff's complaint is extended to September 14, 2011.
2. This extension will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by court order.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

1 Dated: August 11, 2011

SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP

2 By: /s/ Mary Jo Shartsis
3 Mary Jo Shartsis (SBN 55194)
4 Arthur J. Shartsis (SBN 51549)
5 Robert E. Schaberg (SBN 81430)
6 James P. Martin (SBN 170044)
7 One Maritime Plaza, Eighteenth Floor
8 San Francisco, CA 94111
9 Telephone: (415) 421-6500
10 Facsimile: (415) 421-2922

11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
12 *GSI Technology, Inc., a Delaware corporation*

13 Dated: August 11, 2011

MAYER BROWN LLP

14 By: /s/ Lee Rubin
15 Lee Rubin

16 *Attorney for Defendant*
17 *Cypress Semiconductor Corporation, a Delaware*
18 *corporation*

19 CERTIFICATION UNDER GENERAL ORDER NO. 45

20 I, Lee H. Rubin, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this
21 Joint Stipulation. In compliance with General Order No. 45, X.B., I attest that counsel for
22 Plaintiff (Shartris Friese LLP) have concurred in this filing.

23 Dated: August 11, 2011

24 /s/ Lee H. Rubin
25 LEE H. RUBIN